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#### Abstract

Theconsumer buying behavior haschanged drastically. Earlier themajority of peoplehad a unique mindset of going for cheap products. But now the scenario has changed. Asthe standard of living increased people became status conscious. They aremoreinclined tectation of customer.

It would beidealow ardsbranded products. C ompaniesmust remember that when they arebringing out a new product it should match theexp to determineto what extent priceaffectsa product and to what extent brand matterswhen it comes to thepurchase of nail polish. Asa result thecompaniescan makea detailed study on consumer buyingbehavior. T hey can understand the various factors affecting consumer buying behavior and how these factors influence in buying decision process This will help companies to decide how best to price their products so as to meet the needs and expectations of customers. M oreover thiswill givea clear cut idea on how much importance peoplegiveto price and brand in the case of nail polish.

Thisstudy dealswith the effect of price perception and brand familiarity on brand preference. Brand preference istaken asdependent variableand priceperception \& brand familiarityistaken asindependent variables.

B rand preference is the degree of brand loyalty in which a customer prefers one brand over competitive offerings.Price perception is about how customers see a products price, as high, low or fair. It refers to the reasonableness of price; reasonablenessreflectstheway priceispercei ved relativeto that of thecompetitors. Brand familiarityisan extent to which a brand or brand nameisrecognized by potential buyersand correctly associated with the particular product in question. It istheact of creatingpublic awareness of a specific cbrand in order to maximizeitsrecognition. In short, the study deals mainly with the importance of price perception and brand familiarity on brand preferenceand how both thesefactorssignifi cantly affectsbrand preference.


## Introduction

Thecustomers prefer higher priced products on the basis of these details namely when the price details are the only information available, when there is a belief that quality of available brands differ significantly, the price difference between choices are largeetc.

Initially customers give more importance to price. W ith the due course of timebrand namebecame important than price perception. People started looking for branded products. Brand became a symbol of status and quality. H owever customers al so compare pricedifferenceswhilepurchasing variousproducts.

The study takes into consideration price perception and brand familiarity as the independent factors and its impact on the brand preference in the case of cosmetic products specifically in the case of nail polish. Here price perception is taken as two broad areas for the research study: one is price sensitivity case and other isnon- pricesensitivecase.

## Problem Statement

There are various factors which affect consumer buying behavior. While making any purchase price plays very dominant role. People think twice before buying. But thescenario ischanging. W ith the increase in the standards of living people are becoming status

[^0]conscious and as a result they prefer branded products. Most of the people go for products that are more familiar to them. People rarely try new products. It therefore becomes important to find out the effect of price perception and brand familiarity on brand preference.

## O bjectives of thestudy

Primary objective
To find out the effect of price perception and brand familiarity on brand preference
Other objectives

1. To study brand preference
2. To study priceperception
3. To study brand Familiarity
4. To study the effect of price perception and brand familiarity on brand preference

H ypothesis
H 1:Priceperception affectsbrand preference
H 2: Brand familiarity affects brand preference
H 3:Thereis asignificant effect of price perception and brand familiarity on brand preference
Research D esign \& M ethodology
Research design
The study was descriptive. The study was done among the users of N ail polish in C ochin. M ain target was ladies who use nail polish. Primary data C ollected with the help of questionnaires \& based on that Analysis\& findingsweregiven.
Sampling D esign
Samplesizetaken was 150
Sampling design is R andom sampling.
Sampling unit isall who usenail polish.
D ata source
Primary data was collected with the help of questionnaire. All the research process is done with help of the parent study "The influence of price difference \& Brand familiarity on Brand preference" and another study "T he variability of prices on Brand choices". A lot of other articles wereused as reference.

D atacollection method
D ata was collected by means of questionnaire and also with thehelp of face to facetalks. The data is mainly collected among ladies who use nail polish. In order to have accuracy in analysis; ladies in different agegroupswereconsidered.

## LiteratureReview

In the study " $T$ he influence of price difference and brand familiarity on brand preference by Kent B M onroe" ( 2007 M ay ), he talks about the significant effect of priceperception \& brand familiarity on brand preference. Customers preferred higher priced productsonly when
? Pricewastheonly information available
? W hen there was a belief that quality of available brandsdiffered significantly

## ? Price difference between choices were large

Initially customers gave more importance to price. W ith thedue course of timebrand name became important than price perception. People started looking for branded products. Brand became a symbol of status and qual ity. H owever customers al so compare pricedifferenceswhilepurchasing variousproducts.

The main purpose of this research is to isolate specific price and cognitive effects on brand preference and to analyze the effect of price difference and brand familiarity on brand preference. Any way this depends on the products that we buy. Price perception is considered in two extremes here: one is price sensitive case and other oneisnon-pricesensitive case. W hen we consider cosmetic products people are not price sensitive to a great extent. They look upon the brand name rather than price. But this is different with respect to other products.

Major result of the research was brand familiarity will play a dominant role than price in the case of brand preference when we cosmetic products. People are not much concerned about price in the case of cosmetic products. People go for branded products rather than cheaper products. So brand familiarity greatly affects brand preference rather than price perception.

The study "Price perceptions and Consumer shopping behavior by Donald R Lichtenstein, $N$ ancy M Ridgeway \& Richard G N etemeyer" (2008 April
)talks about seven price constructs- five consistent with the perception of price in its "negative role" and two consistent with price perception in "positive role" are used as independent variables to predict market place responses/ behaviors in five domains: price search, generic product purchases, price recall, sales responsiveness \& coupon redemption. The price related constructs explain a significant amount of variance in five domains, providing evidence of predictive validity. Results of higher order factor analysis designed to assess the validity of positivenegative price perception of price taxonomy are also reported.

H ere the various factors influencing consumer behavior and the effect of these factors on consumer buying process is discussed. Here they talk about the importance of price and its significant effect on consumer shopping. This varies from products to products and hence all positive-negative aspects of price perception are taken here. The study talks that shoppers are very heterogeneous in terms of their attention towards price\& pricepromotions. It explains some of this heterogeneity by offering further delineations of the perception of price in its positive\& negative roles. Some will be price sensitive where as some people wont be. So this study talks about the entire aspects from the extreme price sensitive case to extremenon- pricesensitivecase.

The study "M easuring the determinants of Brand Preference by Denford Chimboza \& Edward Mutangwa" (2007 December) talks about the important determinants affecting brand preference in the case of dairy product market. T he study says that brand awareness, price of the brand, product quality and packaging as the important factors affecting brand preference. Awareness about the brand makes the brand more familiar. H ere they talk about promotion aspects in order to make the brand more familiar. The study also talks about the importance of branding and pricing products. The products must meet to the expectations of customers. So pricing and promotion policies must focus on that. Quality is also important factor to consider. The study mainly concentrated on ARD A dairy productsin Zimbabwe.

Price \& product quality are the dominant factors affecting brand preference. Then after comes brand familiarity here. In the case of diary products peoplearemore concerned on product quality \& price. Brand familiarity is only athird choice.

The article "A Generic approach of perception and price sensitivity by Isabella M uratore" (2008 June) talksabout how do consumersperceiveprices?W hy are consumers price sensitive. The objective is to understand the price perception and sensitivity genesis. This article examines the relevance of existing theories of price information processing genesis. this research tries to contribute towards the understanding of the cognitive mechanisms which lead consumer to take into consideration the product price not only from a structural angle of the information processing but also from a developmental angle suggested by genetic psychology. Perception can be viewed in different angles. Price sensitivity can high, medium \& low. This even depends on the products. For certain products customers can be more price sensitive. For certain productsthey cant be so price sensitive. Various extremes of pricesensitivenessdepend on theproducts.

The study "The effect of variability in price on consumer brand choice by B P S M urthi \& Ernan H aruvy" (2008 July) talks about how the changes in prices affects brand choice and purchase decision of a brand. The analysis shows that the price insensitive segment's sensitivity to price is not much affected by frequent price changes whereas the price sensitive segment becomes less responsive to price as a result. Therefore, frequent price promotions over time will lessen the impact of any given promotion on price sensitive shoppers. Even though behavioral literature suggests that price variability affects consumers' decisions, most brand choice models have not focused on theconsumers' responseto such variability.

This study attempts to remedy this omission and examinetheeffect of price variability on consumer brand choice. We use scanner data to show that price variability affects consumer's price sensitivity. We also find that accounting for the effects of price variability in brand choice models improves the model fit over conventional benchmark models.

The study "A relational study on consumer promotion, price perception, product quality perception and brand loyalty in the context of detergent markets by M ohammed M ashfiq Huq" (2008 August) talks about exploring the relationship between consumer promotion, price perception, product quality perceptions and brand loyalty in the detergent market of Bangladesh. O verall when it comes to consumer promotions, general views of people about consumer promotion and price
perceptions have a great impact on product quality perceptions. Price perception shows a positive correlation to brand loyalty. This depends on how we perceive price. Some perceive price as price sensitive and viceversa.

When it comes to brand loyalty of a promotional brand, perceived quality play an important role in addition to general views on consumer promotion and price perception. So all such factors or elements that could have an impact on the consumer promotion, price perception and quality perception should beconsidered very important.

In the article "Brand preference monitoring debated by Radosevich \& Lynda" ( 2008 August), Brand preference is clearly defined and certain antecedents\& consequentsaredefined.

Brand preference is a measure of whether someone prefers one brand over another. Brand preference research aims to uncover both the rational and emotional factors that lead to a purchase decision over a competitor. Some of the antecedents can be quality, price, brand awareness, brand favoritism, brand popularity, brand reputation etc and consequents are brand loyalty, purchase decision, product preferenceetc.

From the above literature reviews presented; there exists a deep relationship between price perception, brand familiarity and brand preference. Any differsfrom productsto products.

## Analysis \& Findings

W ith the help of questionnaires, data that was collected from among the users of nail polish was analysed with the help of SPSS package. In order to find out the relationship between price perception, brand familiarity \& brand preference correlation and regression wasfound out.
H ypothesiswas
H 1:Priceperception affectsbrand preference
H 2: Brand familiarity affects brand preference
H 3:T hereis a significant affect of price perception and brand familiarity on brand preference

## D ependent Variable: Brand Preference

Independent Variables: Price perception, Brand familiarity

H ypothesis H 1 analysis

## T hat isPriceperception affectsbrand preference

From the SPSS; correlation and regression values which areobtained aregiven below:

|  | BP | PP |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| BP Pearson Correlation | 1 | .393 |  |  |
| Sig (2 tailed) |  | .002 |  |  |
| N | 150 | 150 |  |  |
| PP Pearson Correlation | .393 |  | R square |  |
| Sig (2 tailed) | .002 |  |  |  |
| N | 150 |  |  |  |

Thecorrelation valueobtained is 0.393 and R square is 0.154 which shows a positivecorrelation between Price perception and Brand preference. This shows that peoplearenot much pricesensitive as far as a particular brand is concerned since the correlation value is less than 0.5. Peopleareno much concerned about priceto a great extent when they go for a brand. Any way since positive correlation exists between price perception \& Brand preference, we can say price affects Brand preferencenot to a great extent but to a certain extent.

C onsider the case of Lakme


Lakmeis considered to be a premium brand. H erealso there exists a positive correlation between price perception \& Brand preference since the correlation value is 0.256 . H ere people are not concerned about priceto agreat extent. SinceLakmeis a premium brand meant for high society peoplethey won't be concerned about pricethat much.

Consider the case of Elle 18


Elle18 is not a premium brand as compared to Lakme. $H$ ere there exists a better positive correlation between priceperception and brand preference when compared
to Lakme. H ere the people are more concerned about pricewhen compared to Lakme.

There exists a positive correlation between Price perception and Brand preference. But the correlation value is less than 0.5 , we can say that the hypothesis Priceperception affectsBrand preferenceistrueto only a certain extent. It dependson thebrandsthat weuse.

Lakme mainly targets upper class people and Elle 18 targets middle class people. M ost probably that can be the reason for the difference in the $R$ and $R$ squared values.

In effect thehypothesis istrue to certain extent and not to a great extent. R square explains moderately good fit of themodel.

H ypothesis H 2
That isBrand Familiarity affectsBrand preference
From the SPSS; correlation and regression values which areobtained aregiven below


Here the correlation value obtained is 0.545 and R square value is 0.297 which a positive and a good correlation between Brand familiarity \& Brand preference. Ie if a brand is morefamiliar; people go for that brand or prefer that brand.

Consider thecaseof Lakme

|  | BP | PP | R $\quad$ R square |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BP Pearson Correlation <br> Sig (2 tailed) <br> N | 70 | $\begin{aligned} & .59 \\ & .000 \\ & 70 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| PP Pearson Correlation | . 59 |  |  |  |
| Sig (2 tailed) | $.000$ |  | . 59 | 0.34 |

Here the correlation value obtained is 0.59 and R square value is 0.34 which a positive and a good correlation between Brand familiarity \& Brand preference. Since Lakme is more familiar to us a premium brand; peoplego for that brand or prefer that brand. H ere people give importance to brand rather than price since Lakme targets upper segments of people.

Consider the case of Elle18

|  | BP | PP | R $\quad$ R square |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BP Pearson Correlation <br> $\operatorname{Sig}(2$ tailed $)$ <br> N | $45$ | $\begin{aligned} & .5675 \\ & .002 \\ & 45 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| PP Pearson Correlation | . 5675 |  |  |  |
| Sig (2 tailed) | $\begin{aligned} & .002 \\ & 45 \end{aligned}$ |  | . 5675 | 0.32 |

H ere the correlation value obtained is 0.5675 and $R$ square value is 0.32 which a positive and a good correlation between Brand familiarity \& Brand preference. Since Elle18 is more familiar to us; people go for that brand or prefer that brand.

In effect there exists a good positive correlation between Brand preference \& Brand familiarity. So the hypothesisBrand familiarity affectsBrand preferenceis completely true. R square explains good fit of the model.

H ypothesisH 3
That is there is a significant affect of Price perception and Brand familiarity on Brand preference.

C onsider the valueobtained from SPSS;

| $R$ | $R$ square |
| :--- | :--- |
| .469 | 0.219 |

Here the correlation value obtained is 0.469 and $R$ square value is 0.219 which a positive and a good correlation to certain extent. The decrease in the correlation value can be due to the effect of Price perception. In short Price perception and Brand familiarity hassignificant effect on Brand Preference.

## Consider the case of Lakme

| $R$ | $R$ square |
| :--- | :--- |
| .42 | 0.179 |

Here the correlation value obtained is 0.42 and R square value is 0.179 which a positive and a good correlation to certain extent. The decrease in the correlation value can be due to the effect of Price perception. In short Price perception and Brand familiarity has significant effect on Brand Preference. For premium brands; people consider brand more important than price.

## Consider the case of Elle18

| R | R square |
| :--- | :--- |
| .444 | 0.1975 |

Here the correlation value obtained is 0.444 and R square value is 0.1975 which a positive and a good correlation to certain extent. The decrease in the correlation value can be due to the effect of Price perception.

In effect the hypothesis is true. Any way Brand familiarity has a great affect on Brand preference, But Price perception affect Brand preference to a certain extent. R squareexplainsgood fit of themodel.

In the case of Price perception it has 2 parts either the people would be price sensitive or people wont be price sensitive. When people prefer a brand thereare less chances of price sensitiveness. T hat iswhy the correlation value of Price perception \& Brand preference is less. This depends on the brands. The correlation value will be less if they prefer premium brands, but it will be slightly high if people prefer cheaper brand. This is what we saw in the case of Lakme\& Ellel8.

But Brand familiarity will have a greater effect on Brand preference. We got the correlation value positive \& high in all cases. Even it is a premium or cheaper brand people prefer the brand if it is more familiar.

## Results

1. Hypothesis H 1 is true to a certain extent only. That is Price perception affects Brand preference to a certain extent. R-Square value explainsmoderately good fit of themodel.
2. HypothesisH 2 istrue completely. T hat is Brand familiarity affects Brand Preference. If a Brand is familiar people prefer that brand. R-Square valueexplainsgood fit of themodel.
3. Hypothesis H 3 isalso true. T hereis asignificant affect of price perception and Brand familiarity on Brand preference. H ereBrand familiarity has a significant affect on Brand preference but Price perception doesn't have significant effect greatly. R-Square value explains good fit of the model.

## Suggestions

? As studies highlights that brand preference is very much influenced by brand familiarity in the case of cosmetic products especially nail polish, companies must try to focus on the issues of brand building more. Customers are
not at all price conscious in this case. Rather they aremoreconcerned about thebrand.
? If the brand is more familiar to a customer, he will go for that brand. For that proper promotional strategies should beadopted by the companies. Companies must focus more on lower \& middle segments and proper promotional strategies must be adopted so that people will automatically recognizethebrand \& hencewill prefer more
? This field should be explored by the marketers more specifically. For that thisstudy can beused as a frame work. The earlier concept of buying process has drastically changed. People are becoming more status conscious and hence prefer branded products more in their day to day. Another reason can be they are more status conscious. They are ready to pay morefor better quality products. H ence companies should also try to portray thisaspect.

## Conclusion

The main purpose of this research was to isolate specific priceand cognitive effectson brand preference and to analyzetheeffect of pricedifferenceand levels of brand familiarity on brand preference. That is mainly to analyzewhether Brand preferenceis getting affected by priceperception or by Brand familiarity.

It is found that price perception has a less significant effect on brand preference especially in the field of cosmetic industry. When people prefer a brand there are lesschances of price sensitiveness. T hat iswhy the correlation value of Price perception \& Brand preference is less. The correlation value will be less if they prefer premium brands, but it will beslightly high if people prefer cheaper brand. This is what we saw in the case of Lakme \& Elle18. This depends on brands peopleprefer.

But Brand familiarity will have a greater effect on Brand preference. We got the correlation value positive \& high in all cases. Even if it is a premium or cheaper brand people prefer the brand if it is more familiar.
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